

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2017  
 DateRun: 04/07/2017  
 Experimenters: George Liang, Nicholas Landberg, Vinh Tran, Austin Buda, Dan Aspach, Kevin Smith  
 ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer  
 ProjectNumber: Project #2  
 Substrates: Skin  
 PartType: Coupon  
 Contaminants: Dirt  
 Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe  
 Analytical Methods: Tactile, Visual  
 Purpose: To evaluate supplied products for performance following the GS 41 hand-cleaning standard.

Experimental Procedure: Using a fixed, repeatable procedure, the product's efficacy was tested against a nationally recognized conventional product showing equivalent or better performance. The testing protocol included: cleaning ability, lathering/rinsing, and skin condition after use. A standard soil was used and conclusions derived from separate testers. To that end, the TURI Lab established hand cleaning protocol for testing non-foaming hand soaps is as follows:  
 The soil used consisted of a ratio of 5 grams of Synthetic carpet soil AATCC Test Method 122 to 20 mL tap water. The water and soil were mixed together to make a paste. A quarter size amount of soil was applied to a subject's hand. The hands were then rubbed together to distribute soil to both hands.  
 Using tap water, hands were wetted and one to two pumps of non-foaming hand soap were applied. The hands were rubbed together with soap and water for 20 seconds followed by rinsing hands in tap water for 20 seconds. Final step was to pat the hands dry for 20 seconds.  
 During and after cleaning, observations were made for cleaning, lathering/ rinsing and skin condition. Cleaning and lathering/rinsing observations were made once and the skin condition was recorded at 1, 5, 20 and 60 minutes.  
 Observations were ranked using the following guidelines:  
 Observe cleanliness  
 Rank Cleanliness  
 1 No signs of soil  
 2 Only in fine lines of hand or Intermittent spots but not in fine lines  
 3 Intermittent spots and in fine lines  
 4 Multiple spots  
 5 Continually covered  
 Observe lathering/rinsing  
 Rank Lathering/Rinsing  
 1 Lots of lathering - easy rinsing  
 2 Some lathering - easy rinsing  
 3 Some lathering - hard rinsing  
 4 Little lathering - easy rinsing/ No lathering - easy rinsing  
 5 No lathering - hard rinsing  
 Observe skin condition after clean/rinse/dry at 1 minute, 5 minutes, 20 minutes and 60 minutes.  
 Rank Skin Condition Observation  
 1 Smooth and soft  
 2 Some dryness  
 3 Dry - Hands turning white  
 4 Skin stiffening  
 5 Very dry - Cracking of skin  
 Photographs of each subject's hands before soil, after soiling and after cleaning were taken to document cleaning results.

Results:

Type of Cleaner	Tester	Clean	Lather/Rinse	Observe skin condition			
				1 min	5 min	20 min	60 min
AGAE Foaming Hand Soap	1	1.5	4	1	1.5	3	1.5
	2	2.5	4	4	3	3	3
	3	3	4	2.5	2.5	2	2
	4	1.5	4	1	1.5	2	2.5
	5	1.5	4	3	3.5	2	2
	6	3	3.5	1	1	2	2.5

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

Method Foaming Hand Wash	1	3	1	1	1.5	2	2.5
	2	2	1	3	3	3.5	4
	3	2.5	1	2	2	2	2
	4	3	4	1	1.5	1.5	2.5
	5	1.5	4	1.5	1.5	1.5	2
	6	2	3.5	1	1.5	1.5	1.5
Softsoap Kitchen Citrus Blast	1	1.5	1	1	1.5	1	1
	2	3	1	3	3	3	2
	3	2	2	2	1.5	1.5	1.5
	4	2.5	2	1	1	1.5	2.5
	5	2.5	4	2	2	2.5	2
	6	2	3.5	1	1	1.5	2

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>	Skin					
<b>Contaminants:</b>	Dirt					
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>	
AGAE Technologies	Foaming Hand Soap	100		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C 2.16, L 3.92, S 2.25	
Method	Method Sweet Water Foam	100		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C 2.33, L 2.42, S 2.42	
Colgate-Palmolive Company	Kitchen Citrus Blast Foam	100		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	C 2.25, L 2.25, S 1.83	

Conclusion: