

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2013

DateRun: 09/26/2013

Experimenters:

ClientType: Cleaning Equipment Mfr

ProjectNumber: Project #1

Substrates: Ceramics, Plastic, Fiberglass, Chrome

PartType: Coupon

Contaminants: Films, Soaps

Cleaning Methods:

Analytical Methods: Gravimetric, Visual

Purpose: To evaluate the supplied products for bathroom cleaning using manual cleaning

Experimental Procedure: The supplied cleaning products were used at the recommended concentration (4.7Non-acid, 6.25% mild acid). Prew weighed Plastic, chrome, ceramic and fiberglass, coupons were coated with SSL Soil 1 (Bathroom soap scum: All-in-one shampoo and conditioner 28.6%, Dry skin lotion 21.4%, Liquid hand soap 21.4%, Liquid body wash 14.3%, Deodorant bar soap 7.2% and water 7.1%.) using a handheld swab and allowed to dry for 24 hours at room temperature. The contaminated coupons were weighed again to determine the amount of soil added.

Three coupons were placed into a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Wypall X60 reinforced wipe was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 2-3 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 1-2 times with the same cleaning solution. The solution was allowed to penetrate for 30 seconds followed by cleaning in the SLW unit for 20 cycles (~33 seconds). At the end of the cleaning, coupons were wiped once with a dry paper towel. Final weights were recorded, and efficiencies were calculated and recorded.

Results: Results: All four products were effective at removing the Bathroom soil from the four surfaces using manual wiping. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining after cleaning and the calculated efficiency for each coupon cleaned.

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>		Ceramics, Plastic, Fiberglass, Chrome			
<b>Contaminants:</b>		Films, Soaps			
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Seventh Generation	Free & Clear All Purpose	100		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion: All four products had an overall average efficiency over 85%.