

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2004  
 DateRun: 03/12/2004  
 Experimenters: Jason Marshall  
 ClientType: Manufacturer of Ceramic Capacitors  
 ProjectNumber: Project #1  
 Substrates: Ceramics  
 PartType: Coupon  
 Contaminants: Waxes  
 Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak  
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric  
 Purpose: To evaluate successful cleaners on initial wax

**Experimental Procedure:** Three cleaners were selected from the past trials to be tested on the wax. Two products were used at full strength and one at 10% diluted with DI water in 250 ml beakers. All products were heated to 100 F on a hot plate.  
 Nine preweighed ceramic coupons were coated with client supplied wax, Zopher Mills Inc No 1563 Wax (mixture of waxes and resins). The wax was first melted using a Master Appliance heat gun in a beaker and applied directly to the coupon surface. The coupons were allowed to cool to room temperature before weighing a second time. Three coupons were cleaned in each solution for 30 minutes using stir-bar agitation. Coupons were rinsed in tap water for 15 seconds at 120 F, followed by air blow off at room temperature. Once dry, coupons were weighed a final time and efficiencies for each cleaner were calculated.  
 All products were then degassed for 5 minutes in a Branson 3510, 40 kHz ultrasonic tank at 100 F. Twelve preweighed ceramic coupons were coated with client supplied wax, Zopher Mills Inc No 1563 Wax (mixture of waxes and resins). The wax was first melted using a Master Appliance heat gun in a beaker and applied directly to the coupon surface. The coupons were allowed to cool to room temperature before weighing a second time. Three coupons were cleaned in each solution for 15 minutes using ultrasonic energy. Coupons were rinsed in tap water for 15 seconds at 120 F, followed by air blow off at room temperature. Once dry, coupons were weighed a final time and efficiencies for each cleaner were calculated.

**Results:** Neither process worked for any of the products tested on the wax. No removal was over 20% of the wax.

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
Micro 90	0.3808	0.3798	0.26
	0.2148	0.2123	1.16
	0.2611	0.2597	0.54
E3HB	0.2197	0.2184	0.59
	0.1634	0.1644	-0.61
	0.3027	0.3038	-0.36
Ink Zapper	0.2980	0.2937	1.44
	0.3852	0.3717	3.50
	0.3456	0.3386	2.03

## Ultrasonic

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
Micro 90	0.3798	0.3551	6.50
	0.2123	0.1761	17.05
	0.2597	0.2448	5.74
E3HB	0.2184	0.1702	22.07
	0.1644	0.1304	20.68
	0.3038	0.2699	11.16
Ink Zapper	0.2937	0.2545	13.35
	0.3717	0.3243	12.75
	0.3386	0.2744	18.96

**Summary:**

<b>Substrates:</b>	Ceramics					
<b>Contaminants:</b>	Waxes					
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>	

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

International Products Corporation	Micro 90 Conc.	10	6.32	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Metabolix Inc	Metabolix E3HB	100	17.97	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Vertec BioSolvents	Ink Zapper	100	15.02	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion:

The three products were found to be ineffective at removing this wax using immersion or ultrasonic agitation.