

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2010  
DateRun: 04/27/2010  
Experimenters: Junhee Cho  
ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer  
ProjectNumber: Project #1  
Substrates: Stainless Steel  
PartType: Coupon  
Contaminants: Hucker's Soil  
Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe  
Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: To evaluate supplied products for presoaking capabilities for manual dishwashing.

Experimental Procedure: Prewieghed stainless steel coupons were coated with a modified Hucker's soil and then placed in a conventional oven at 100 F for 2 hours. Dirty weights were recorded after the coupons cooled to room temperature. One set of coupons were cleaned

Three coupons were placed into a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Kimberly-Clark Wypal reinforced paper towel was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 5-7 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 7-10 times with the same cleaning solution. The cleaning unit was run for 20 cycles (~33 seconds). At the end of the cleaning, coupons were wiped once with a dry paper towel. Final weights were recorded, efficiencies were calculated and recorded.

A second set of soiled coupons were first immersed into the cleaning solutions for 10 minutes and then cleaned following the manual cleaning process. Results were compared for each methodology.

The two client supplied products were diluted according to recommendations using DI water (0.8 and 0.13). A comparative product was used at the 0.1% dilution recommended by the ASTM 4009 for foam stability in manual cleaning. Water also was used.

Results: The two supplied products were more effective at removing the Hucker's soil from the three surfaces using the presoaking with manual wiping. Dawn and water both had lower efficiency after soaking than manual cleaning alone. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining after cleaning and the calculated efficiency for each coupon cleaned.

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
Alpha Chemical Liquid presoak 0.8% (presoaking)	0.0337	0.0016	95.25
	0.0566	0.0028	95.05
	0.0289	0.0029	89.97
Alpha Chemical Solid presoak 0.3% (presoaking)	0.0283	0.0056	80.21
	0.0777	0.0086	88.93
	0.0612	0.0044	92.81
Dawn cleaner 0.1 % (presoaking)	0.0278	0.0122	56.12
	0.1104	0.0057	94.84
	0.0675	0.0036	94.67
Water 100% (presoaking)	0.0549	0.0142	74.13
	0.1523	0.0272	82.14
	0.0663	0.0152	77.07
Alpha Chemical Liquid presoak 0.8% (non-pres soaking)	0.2667	0.016	94.00
	0.0267	0.0025	90.64
	0.0294	0.0043	85.37
Alpha Chemical Solid presoak 0.3% (non-pres soaking)	0.0324	0.0077	76.23
	0.0377	0.0111	70.56

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	0.0537	0.0103	80.82
Dawn cleaner 0.1 % (non-pres soaking)	0.0609	0.0068	88.83
	0.0379	0.0071	81.27
	0.1227	0.0148	87.94
water 100% (non- pres soaking)	0.0833	0.0352	57.74
	2.0382	0.0066	99.68
	0.0286	0.0059	79.37

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>		Stainless Steel			
<b>Contaminants:</b>		Hucker's Soil			
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Alpha Chemical Services	Liquid Presoak	0.8	93.42	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Alpha Chemical Services	Solid Presoak	0.3	87.32	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Procter & Gamble	Dawn Dish Detergent	0.1	81.87	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Water	Water	100	77.78	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion:

The supplied presoak products removed over 85% of the aged soil. Both worked better than water or Dawn and better than cleaning without a presoak.