

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2007  
 DateRun: 10/16/2007  
 Experimenters: Jason Marshall, Shweta Bansal  
 ClientType: Chemical Company  
 ProjectNumber: Project #1  
 Substrates: Aluminum  
 PartType: Coupon  
 Contaminants: Cutting/Tapping Fluids  
 Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak  
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric  
 Purpose: To evaluate supplied products on first contaminant using immersion cleaning  
 Experimental Procedure: Four products were diluted to the requested levels and heated to 135 F on a hot plate. In addition, water was used as a control solution. Eighteen preweighed Aluminum 6061 T6 coupons were coated with Cinster cutting fluid using a handheld swab. Coupons were weighed a second time to determine the amount of cutting fluid added.  
 Three coupons were immersed in each solution and cleaned for five minutes using minimal stir-bar agitation. Coupons were rinsed in either DI water or tap water heated to 135 F. One product was rinsed in room temperature tap water as requested. All coupons were dried for 10 minutes in an oven at 140 F. After drying, coupons were weighed a third time and product cleaning efficiencies were calculated.  
 Requested Procedure:  
 A. General Process  
 Soaking for 5 minutes at 135 degrees F and rinsing for 5 minutes at a temperature selected in procedure B below. During the soaking and rinsing the solution should be gently stirred to simulate effect of typical continuous flow filtering in both cleaning and rinse tanks. Then dry thoroughly in drying oven of type that is typically used in precision cleaning applications. The rinse water should be changed after each set of 3 tests to prevent contaminant buildup.  
 B. FPC testing.  
 Mix FPC 100-add 7 oz of concentrate to 123 oz of filtered tap water when both are at room temperature. Then run process of A above with rinse tank at room temperature and repeat with rinse tank at 135 degrees F.  
 C. Other products  
 Use process A above. at dilutions shown but rinsing with DI water instead of tap water for the Metalnox M6440.  
 Products to Evaluate  
 Metalnox M6440 @ 10% solution  
 Extreme Simple Green Aircraft Cleaner@10% solution.  
 Gemtec Aircraft cleaner @ 15% solution.  
 Kleen Tec 715@ 1 part concentrate to 11 parts water

Results: All products removed over 99% of the Cinster cutting fluid. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining and the calculated efficiencies.

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
Metalnox M6410	0.3181	0.0013	99.59
	0.2965	0.0004	99.87
	0.3933	-0.0007	100.18
SC Aircraft	0.3779	0.0009	99.76
	0.5367	0.0004	99.93
	0.2224	0.0007	99.69
KT 715	0.4900	0.0012	99.76
	0.4155	0.0012	99.71
	0.3697	0.0012	99.68
FPC 100	0.3241	0.0003	99.91
	0.2903	-0.0001	100.03
	0.2494	0.0008	99.68
Water	0.2390	0.0012	99.50
	0.0950	0.0011	98.84

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	0.3424	0.0007	99.80
FPC 100 cold rinse	0.2705	0.0007	99.74
	0.2496	0.0000	100.00
	0.3332	0.0006	99.82

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>	Aluminum				
<b>Contaminants:</b>	Cutting/Tapping Fluids				
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Kyzen Corporation	Metalnox M6440	10	99.88	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Gemtek Products	SC Aircraft & Metal Cleaner Super Concentrate	10	99.79	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Klean Tec	KT 715	8.3	99.71	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Environmental Solution Products Inc	FPC 100	5.4	99.87	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Water	Water	100	99.34	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Environmental Solution Products Inc	FPC 100	5.4	99.85	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	cold rinse

Conclusion:

The same testing process will be conducted using the second supplied contaminant.