

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2001  
 DateRun: 11/19/2001  
 Experimenters: Jason Marshall  
 ClientType: Metal  
 ProjectNumber: Project #1  
 Substrates: Steel  
 PartType: Coupon  
 Contaminants: Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils, Oil  
 Cleaning Methods: Ultrasonics  
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: To compare ultrasonics and immersion cleaning at shorter cleaning time

Experimental Procedure: Two sets of the five cleaners from previous trial were made into 5% solutions in 600 ml beakers. Five were heated to 130 F in a Crest 40 kHz ultrasonic tank and the other five were heated to 130 F on a hot plate. Thirty preweighed coupons were coated with the rust preventative using a plastic eyedropper. Coupons sat overnight and were reweighed. Three coupons were cleaned for 20 seconds with ultrasonic and immersion cleaning. Each set of coupons were rinsed with tap water at 120 F for 30 seconds and dried using a heat gun at 500 F for 1 minute. Once coupons cooled, final weights were recorded and cleaning efficiencies were calculated.

Results: The ultrasonic cleaned coupons looked cleaner than the immersion cleaned coupons. The rinse water from the ultrasonic cleaning had less oil in it than the immersion rinse water did. The following table lists the efficiencies for both immersion and ultrasonic cleaning.

Table 1. Efficiencies

	Cleaner	Coupon 1	Coupon 2	Coupon 3	Average
Immersion	Multikleen	99.70	99.39	96.24	98.44
	Inproclean	98.85	97.46	96.68	97.66
	Beyond	98.85	96.53	98.49	97.96
	Dasco	98.16	98.00	97.69	97.95
	Certa	92.01	95.27	94.92	94.07
Ultrasonics	Multikleen	99.99	100.06	99.92	99.99
	Inproclean	100.38	100.12	99.94	100.15
	Beyond	99.92	100.06	99.93	99.97
	Dasco	100.00	99.70	97.96	99.22
	Certa	99.74	98.87	97.46	98.69

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>		Steel				
<b>Contaminants:</b>		Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils, Oil				
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>	
Heatbath Corporation	Multi-Kleen 1568	5	98.44	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immersion	
Heatbath Corporation	Multi-Kleen 1568	5	99.99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ultrasonics	
Oakite Products	Inproclean 3800	5	97.66	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immersion	
Oakite Products	Inproclean 3800	5	100.15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ultrasonics	
Today & Beyond	Beyond 2001	5	97.96	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immersion	
Today & Beyond	Beyond 2001	5	99.97	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ultrasonics	
DA Stuart Company	Dasco Kleen 3250	5	97.95	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immersion	
DA Stuart Company	Dasco Kleen 3250	5	99.22	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ultrasonics	
Houghton International	Cerfa Kleen 5387	5	94.07	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immersion	
Houghton International	Cerfa Kleen 5387	5	98.69	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ultrasonics	

Conclusion: The ultrasonic cleaning at 20 seconds was more effective than cleaning with immersion. The ultrasonic cleaning removed nearly 100% of the rust preventative, where as the immersion cleaning removed between 94 and 98%.