

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 1998
 DateRun: 10/13/1998
 Experimenters: Jason Marshall
 ClientType: Ceramic Decal Printer
 ProjectNumber: Project #1
 Substrates: Stainless Steel
 PartType: Coupon
 Contaminants: Inks
 Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: To evaluate new, lower VOC, cleaning solvents for press clean-up.

Experimental Procedure: Prewedged coupons were contaminated with the client supplied ink using a hand held swab. Ink was allowed to dry for 3 hours and then the coupons were weighed. Seven chemistries were selected based on the lab's Effective Test Conditions Database and vendor information. An eighth cleaner* was supplied by the client for comparison. Each cleaner was used at room temperature and at 100% concentration. A paper towel was soaked with each cleaner. Coupons were wiped for no more than 1½ minutes. Three coupons were cleaned with each chemistry. Final clean weights were recorded and cleaning efficiencies were calculated.

SUBSTRATE MATERIAL: Stainless Steel (304)

CONTAMINANTS: Ink-Cerdec Magenta (CAS#'s: 119-64-2 Tetrahydronaphthalene; 65997-18-4 Lead Borosilicate Frit; 1345-24-0 Pigment Red 109; 20667-12-3 Silver Oxide)

Results: Almost all of the chemistries tested had complete removal of the ink. Only Oakite and WR Grace had less than 99% efficiency. The remaining six cleaners were above this value. The effectiveness of the cleaners and the amount of time required for cleaning are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Cleaning Efficiencies and Times.

	100 Solvent	4000 T	Bio-T Max	HTF 60	Soy Gold	EP 921	1-1-02	294 XX
Coupon 1	99.83	76.66	100	99.89	99.47	99.84	99.883	82.75
Coupon 2	99.95	88.33	99.85	99.95	99.52	99.88	99.72	65.01
Coupon 3	99.94	60.15	99.85	99.93	99.34	99.62	99.93	78.51
Ave	99.91	75.05	99.9	99.92	99.44	99.78	99.84	75.42
Std Dev	0.067	14.16	0.087	0.031	0.093	0.14	0.11	9.26
Time Required (min)								
	<1	>1.5	< 1	1-1.5	1	<1	1	>1.5

The client supplied cleaner had an average cleaning of 99.91% performed in less than one minute. There were a couple other cleaners with similar efficiencies and times. BIO-T Max, HTF 60 and 1-1-2 all cleaned at or above 99.8% and within 30 seconds of the 100 Solvent. Two other cleaners removed between 99.4 and 99.8% of the contaminant in about the same time as the original cleaner.

Summary:

Substrates:	Stainless Steel					
Contaminants:	Inks					
Company Name:	Product Name:	Conc.:	Efficiency:	Effective:	Observations:	
Exxon Mobile Chemical Company	Aromatic 100 Solvent	100	99.91	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Oakite Products	Inproclean 4000 T	100	75.05	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Bio Chem Systems	Bio T Max	100	99.90	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Tarksol Inc	Tarksol HTF 60	100	99.92	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
AG Environmental Products	Soy Gold 1000	100	99.44	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Inland Technologies Inc	EP 921	100	99.78	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Finger Lakes Chemical	1-1-02	100	99.84	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Magnaflux	Daraclean 294 xx	100	75.42	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Conclusion:

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

Of the seven chemistries tested, five had similar cleaning action as the supplied product. The next test will be to determine the effect the cleaners have on the CDF Direct Film Coating.