

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 1995

DateRun: 06/22/1995

Experimenters: Donald Garlotta, Jay Jankauskas

ClientType: Plating Job Shop

ProjectNumber: Project #1

Substrates: Aluminum, Brass, Copper, Steel

PartType: Coupon

Contaminants: Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils, Oil

Cleaning Methods: Mechanical Agitation

Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: To evaluate cleaners for removal of oils

Experimental Procedure: Three cleaners will be tested: WR Grace Daraclean 294xx at 10%, Oakite Inproclean #3800 at 10% and a 10% Oakite #3800 solution with a 2% additive of Oakite Ladd. The Oakite Ladd supposedly will increase cleaning efficiency while reducing foam rates, so it will be interesting to see how well the Oakite Ladd additive performs.

The coupons were weighed before and after contamination. Cleaning was performed in an air sparged beaker at 150 F for 20 minutes. The coupons were then rinsed for 5 minutes at 150 F in a tap water rinse. The coupons were dried under an air knife for 2 minutes and then placed in a convection oven for 90 minutes. The coupons were then allowed to cool down overnight and then weighed in the morning. After the final weighing, the coupons were analyzed under a black light to check for fluorescing of any residual oils or wax.

SUBSTRATE MATERIAL: #1- 6061 Aluminum Coupons, #2- 110 Copper Coupons, #3- 260, Brass Coupons, #4- Steel boiler plate parts

CONTAMINANTS: Wax, ITW Safetap, Steco Corporation Tap magic Al cutting fluid, Park Chemical Corporation Haze Quench Oil

CONTAMINATING PROCESS USED: Coupons were dipped in wax and oils were brushed onto coupons

Results: The Oakite 3800 performed exceptionally once again. The steel and Aluminum coupons were totally wax free and no noticeable oil residue. There was a slight wax buildup on the copper and brass coupons (the best bet would be to increase time). The foaming is definitely the big problem with the Inproclean #3800. The Ladd additive did an excellent job of reducing the foam level. Cleaning was a little less effective than the Oakite #3800 alone but it still was excellent. There was no wax or oil on the Steel and Aluminum coupons. There was some slight residue on the copper coupon and quite a bit of wax remaining on the brass coupon. There was no etching on any of the coupons. Might want to increase cleaning time to remove residual wax.

The Daraclean 294xx was definitely the least effective. Wax residue was noticed on all coupons and excessive amounts of tap magic oil was noticed on the Brass coupon.

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA LOG

### GRAVIMETRIC ANALYSIS

sample # and substrate	clean mass (g)	mass with contamination (g)	mass after cleaning (g)	contaminant removed (g)	Percent Removal
#32 Steel	127.5046	128.2982	127.5043	0.7939	100.04%
#5385 Brass	34.5390	35.0465	34.5394	0.5071	99.92%
#13 Aluminum	20.9996	21.9484	20.9997	0.9487	99.99%
#3527 Copper	35.3530	35.9061	35.3556	0.5505	99.53%
#17 Steel	128.3883	129.2071	128.3876	0.8195	100.09%
#3130 Brass	34.3117	34.8061	34.3148	0.4913	99.37%
#14 Aluminum	21.0161	21.7392	21.0170	0.7222	99.88%
#3276 Copper	35.3279	35.8522	35.3310	0.5212	99.41%
#19 Steel	123.1888	123.9450	123.1946	0.7504	99.23%

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

#7624 Brass	34.7619	35.2642	34.7650	0.4992	99.38%
#15 Aluminum	20.9807	21.6497	20.9842	0.6655	99.48%
#3357 Copper	35.3363	35.8803	35.3464	0.5339	98.14%

*ITALICS*- Oakite Inproclean #3800

**BOLD**- Oakite Inproclean #3800 with Oakite Ladd additive

PLAIN- WR Grace Daraclean 294xx

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>	Aluminum, Brass, Copper, Steel				
<b>Contaminants:</b>	Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils, Oil				
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Magnaflux	Daraclean 294 xx	10	99.48	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Oakite Products	Inproclean 4000 T	10		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Oakite Products	Inproclean 3800	10	99.37	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Oakite Products	Inproclean 3800	10	99.88	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	+2.5% Oakite Ladd additive

Conclusion:

This trial was successful in the fact that the foam reduction on the Inproclean #3800 was reduced with the Ladd additive. The only problem is the slightly lower cleaning efficiency produced by the Ladd additive. We might want to think about increasing the concentration up to 15% or trying the Oakite Inproclean #2000 which we should receive next week.