

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2020

DateRun: 06/19/2020

Experimenters: Alicia McCarthy, Hayley Byra

ClientType: Metal Working

ProjectNumber: Project #1

Substrates: Aluminum

PartType: Coupon

Contaminants: Buffing/Polishing Compounds

Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak

Analytical Methods: Gravimetric, Visual

Purpose: The purpose of this experiment was to use unheated immersion to identify the hardest buffing compound to remove of the three compounds (Red Buffing, White Buffing, and Blue Buffing) using the cleaners Dimethyl glutarate and Metalnox 6386.

Experimental Procedure: All three soils were tested with each of the two cleaners: Dimethyl glutarate (100% concentration) and Metalnox 6386 (100% concentration). Three aluminum coupons were obtained and weighed for each of the soils, for a total of nine coupons per cleaner. Coupons were soiled with the respective buffing compounds; Red Buffing, White Buffing, or Blue Buffing, and a soiled weight was obtained. Coupons were then submerged into 200mL of their respective cleaners for 5 minutes at room temperature. After 5 minutes, coupons were air-dried, and a final clean weight was obtained. Effectiveness of the cleaners and difficulty of removal was determined.

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Results: | Cleaner | Contaminant | Initial wt of cont. | Final wt of cont. | % Cont. Removed | % AVG per soil | % AVG Total |
| | Dimethyl glutarate | Red Buffing Compound | 0.0092 | 0.0094 | -2.174 | 32.36 | 44.93% |
| | | | 0.012 | 0.0092 | 23.33 | | |
| | | | 0.0108 | 0.0026 | 75.926 | | |
| | | White Buffing Compound | 0.0039 | 0.0042 | -7.693 | 9.99 | |
| | | | 0.0055 | 0.005 | 9.091 | | |
| | | | 0.0056 | 0.004 | 28.571 | | |
| | | Blue Buffing Compound | 0.0241 | 0.0035 | 85.477 | 92.43 | |
| | | | 0.0749 | 0.0057 | 92.39 | | |
| | | | 0.0846 | 0.0005 | 99.409 | | |
| | Metalnox 6386 | Red Buffing Compound | 0.0154 | 0.0013 | 91.558 | 96.8 | 91.23% |
| | | | 0.0216 | 0.0008 | 96.296 | | |
| | | | 0.0315 | -0.0008 | 102.54 | | |
| | | White Buffing Compound | 0.0085 | 0.0036 | 57.647 | 75.83 | |
| | | | 0.008 | 0.0031 | 61.25 | | |
| | | | 0.0093 | -0.0008 | 108.602 | | |
| | | Blue Buffing Compound | 0.0268 | 0.0006 | 97.761 | 101.07 | |
| | | | 0.0465 | 0.0013 | 97.204 | | |
| 0.0437 | | | -0.0036 | 108.238 | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Substrates: | | Aluminum | | | | |
| Contaminants: | | Buffing/Polishing Compounds | | | | |
| Company Name: | Product Name: | Conc.: | Efficiency: | Effective: | Observations: | |
| Fisher Scientific | Dimethyl glutarate (CAS:1119-40-0) | 100% | 44.93 | <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Kyzen Corporation | Metalnox M6386 | 100% | 91.23 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |

Conclusion: The White Buffing compound was the most difficult to remove for both cleaners (9.99% from Dimethyl glutarate and 75.83% from Metalnox 6386). Metalnox 6386 was the more effective cleaner overall in removing all three buffing compounds from aluminum substrates (91.23% removal total average).