

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2019

DateRun: 11/25/2019

Experimenters: Sabrina Apel, Zoe Lawson, Othon Pagounes, Tuan Le, Tatyanna Moreland Junior

ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer

ProjectNumber: Project #1

Substrates: Skin

PartType: Coupon

Contaminants: Dirt

Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe

Analytical Methods: Tactile, Visual

Purpose: To evaluate the effectiveness of Cleanyst FC Hand Soap against 7th Generation FC Hand Wash on the performance of cleanliness, lathering, and dryness under GS 41 Hand Washing test method.

Experimental Procedure: Four testers were used to evaluate the supplied products' performance. A preliminary review of the tester's hands was conducted before soiling their hands with GS 41 Hand Washing test soil (20 g synthetic carpet soil AATCC Test Method 122, 100 ml tap water). The water and soil were mixed together to make a paste. A quarter size amount of soil was applied to each subject's hand. Both hands were then rubbed together to distribute the soil to both hands for 20 seconds. The soiled hands were considered the baseline for the dirtiest cleanliness rating (5).

Two pumps of hand soap were applied to the dirty hands. The hands were rubbed together with soap for 20 seconds and followed by rinsing hands in tap water for 20 seconds. The hands were then blotted dry with paper towels for 20 seconds. Observations were made after cleaning the contaminated hands for cleanliness, lathering/rinsing, and skin condition. Observations of skin condition was recorded at 1, 5, 20, 60 minutes as well as 24 hours after the conclusion of the test.

Observations were ranked using the following guidelines:

**Cleanliness Observation**

Rank	Cleanliness
1	No signs of soil
2	Only in fine lines of hand or intermittent spots but not in fine lines
3	Intermittent spots and in fine lines
4	Multiple spots
5	Continually covered

**Lathering/Rinsing Observation**

Rank	Lathering/Rinsing
1	Lots of lathering
2	Some lathering
3	Some lathering
4	Little lathering
5	No lathering

**Skin Condition Observation (1, 5, 20, 60 minutes and 24 hours)**

Rank	Skin Condition
1	Smooth and soft
2	Some dryness
3	Dry (hands turning white)
4	Skin stiffening
5	Very dry (cracking of skin)

Results: Observed Cleanliness Results:

Cleanliness Ratings					
Cleaner	Tester 1	Tester 2	Tester 3	Tester 4	Avg. Cleanliness

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

Cleanyst FC Hand Soap	3	4	4	4	3.75
7 <sup>th</sup> Generation FC Hand Wash	1.5	4	2	2	2.38

Observed Lathering Results:

Lathering Ratings					
Cleaner	Tester 1	Tester 2	Tester 3	Tester 4	Avg. Lathering
Cleanyst FC Hand Soap	3	4	3.5	4	3.63
7 <sup>th</sup> Generation FC Hand Wash	2	3	3.5	2	2.63

Observed Skin Condition Results:

Skin Condition Ratings							
Cleaner	Tester	1 min.	5 min.	20 min.	60 min.	Average (60 min.)	24 Hours
Cleanyst FC Hand Soap	1	2	2	2.5	3	2.4	3
	2	2.5	3	3	3	2.9	3
	3	3	3	3.5	3.5	3.3	4
	4	2	2.5	2.5	3	2.5	3
7 <sup>th</sup> Generation FC Hand Wash	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	1.6	2.5
	2	2	2	2.5	3	2.4	2.5
	3	1.5	2	3.5	3.5	2.6	3
	4	2	2.5	2.5	2	2.3	3

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>		Skin			
<b>Contaminants:</b>		Dirt			
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Cleanyst	Cleanyst Free and Clear Hand Soap	100		<input type="checkbox"/>	not as effective as 7 <sup>th</sup> Generation FC Hand Wash
Seventh Generation	Seventh Generation Hand Soap	100		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion:

Cleanyst FC Hand Soap was not as effective as 7<sup>th</sup> Generation FC Hand Wash for overall cleanliness, lathering, skin condition, and skin dryness.